Art Unit: 2645

Atty. Docket No. 112233-CON-2

CLAIMS

Please replace all previous versions of the claims with the following claim set:

Listing of Claims:

1. (currently amended) A automated task classification system that operates on a task objective of a user, comprising:

a meaningful phrase generator that generates a plurality of meaningful phrases from verbal <u>input</u> and non-verbal <u>input</u> speech, each of the meaningful phrases being generated based on one of a predetermined set of the task objectives;

a recognizer that recognizes at least one of the generated meaningful phrases in an input communication of the user; and

a task classifier that makes a classification decision in response to the recognized meaningful phrases relating to one of the set of predetermined task objectives.

- (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the meaningful phrases are expressed in a multimodal form.
- 3. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 2, wherein the multimodal form includes inputs from at least one channel.
 - 4. (cancelled)
 - 5. (cancelled)
 - 6. (cancelled)
- 7. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the meaningful phrases in the user's input communication received by the recognizer are derived from the user's actions.
 - 8. (cancelled)
- 9. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, further comprising a dialog module that enters into a dialog with the user to obtain a feedback response from the user.

Art Unit: 2645

Atty. Docket No. 112233-CON-2

- 10. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 9, wherein the dialog module prompts the user to provide a feedback response that includes additional information with respect to the user's initial input communication.
- 11. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 9, wherein the dialog module prompts the user to provide a feedback response that includes confirmation with respect to at least one of the set of task objectives determined in the classification decision.
- 12. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the task classifier routes the input communication based on the classification decision.
- 13. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 12, wherein the task objective is performed after the input communication is routed by the task classifier.
 - 14. (cancelled)
- 15. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the system is used for customer care purposes.
- 16. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the classification decisions and corresponding user input communications are collected by the system for automated learning purposes.
- 17. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the relationship between the generated meaningful phrases and the predetermined set of task objectives includes a measure of usefulness of a one of the meaningful phrases to a specified one of the predetermined task objectives.
- 18. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 17, wherein the usefulness measure is a salience measure.
- 19. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 18, wherein the salience measure is represented as a conditional probability of the task objective being requested given an appearance of the meaningful phrase in the input communication, the

Art Unit: 2645

Atty. Docket No. 112233-CON-2

conditional probability being a highest value in a distribution of the conditional probabilities over the set of predetermined task objectives.

- 20. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 18, wherein each of the plurality of generated meaningful phrases has a salience measure exceeding a predetermined threshold.
- 21. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the relationship between the generated meaningful phrases and the predetermined set of task objectives includes a measure of commonality within a language of the meaningful phrases.
- 22. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 21, wherein the commonality measure is a mutual information measure.
- 23. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 22, wherein each of the plurality of generated meaningful phrases has a mutual information measure exceeding a predetermined threshold.
- 24. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the task classifier makes the classification decision using a confidence function.
- 25. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the input communication from the user represents a request for at least one of the set of predetermined task objectives.
- 26. (Original) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein the input communication is responsive to a query of a form "How may I help you?".
- 27. (currently amended) The automated task classification system of claim 1, wherein each of the verbal <u>input</u> and non-verbal <u>input speech</u> are directed to one of the set of predetermined task objectives and each of the verbal <u>input</u> and non-verbal <u>input speech</u> is labeled with the one task objective to which it is directed.
- 28. (currently amended) An automated routing system that automatically routes a user's request based on an automated task classification decision, comprising:

Art Unit: 2645

Atty. Docket No. 112233-CON-2

a meaningful phrase generator that generates a plurality of meaningful phrases from verbal <u>input</u> and non-verbal <u>input</u> speech, each of the meaningful phrases being generated based on one of a predetermined set of task objectives;

a recognizer that recognizes at least one of the generated meaningful phrases in the user's request;

a task classifier that makes a classification decision in response to the recognized meaningful phrases relating to one of the set of predetermined task objectives; and

a task router that routes the user's request in order to perform at least one of the task objectives based on the classification decision.

- 29. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the meaningful phrases are expressed in multimodal form.
- 30. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 29, wherein the multimodal form includes inputs from at least one channel.
 - 31. (cancelled)
 - 32. (cancelled)
 - 33. (cancelled).
- 34. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the meaningful phrases in the user's input communication received by the recognizer are derived from the user's actions.
 - 35. (cancelled)
- 36. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, further comprising a dialog module that enters into a dialog with the user to obtain a feedback response from the user.
- 37. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 36, wherein the dialog module prompts the user to provide a feedback response that includes additional information with respect to the user's request.

Art Unit: 2645

Atty. Docket No. 112233-CON-2

- 38. (Original) The automated routing system of clam 36, wherein the dialog module prompts the user to provide a feedback response that includes confirmation with respect to at least one of the set of task objectives determined in the classification decision.
- 39. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 36, wherein if the task classifier cannot make a classification decision after dialog is conducted with the user, the router routes the user's request to a human for assistance.
- 40. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 39, wherein the task objective is performed after the user's request is routed.
 - 41. (cancelled)
- 42. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the system is used for customer care purposes.
- 43. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the classification decisions and corresponding user requests are collected by the system for automated learning purposes.
- 44. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the relationship between the generated meaningful phrases and the predetermined set of task objectives includes a measure of usefulness of a one of the meaningful phrases to a specified one of the predetermined task objectives.
- 45. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 44, wherein the usefulness measure is a salience measure.
- 46. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 45, wherein the salience measure is represented as a conditional probability of the task objective being requested given an appearance of the meaningful phrase in the user's request, the conditional probability being a highest value in a distribution of the conditional probabilities over the set of predetermined task objectives.

Art Unit: 2645

Atty. Docket No. 112233-CON-2

- 47. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 45, wherein each of the plurality of generated meaningful phrases has a salience measure exceeding a predetermined threshold.
- 48. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the relationship between the generated meaningful phrases and the predetermined set of task objectives includes a measure of commonality with a language of the meaningful phrases.
- 49. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 48, wherein the commonality measure is a mutual information measure.
- 50. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 49, wherein each of the plurality of generated meaningful phrases has a mutual information measure exceeding a predetermined threshold.
- 51. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the task classifier makes the classification decision using a confidence function.
- 52. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the user's request represents a request for at least one of the set of predetermined task objectives.
- 53. (Original) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein the user's request is responsive to a query of a form "How may I help you?".
- 54. (currently amended) The automated routing system of claim 28, wherein each of the verbal <u>user input</u> and non-verbal <u>user input</u> speech are directed to one of the set of predetermined task objectives and each of the verbal <u>input</u> and non-verbal <u>input</u> speech being labeled with the one task objective to which it is directed.
 - 55. (Original) An automated task classification system, comprising:

a recognizer that recognizes at least one meaningful phrase in an input communication of a user; and

a task classifier that makes a classification decision in response to the recognized meaningful phrases relating to one of a set of predetermined task objectives.

Art Unit: 2645

Atty. Docket No. 112233-CON-2